

HEALTH SCIENCE (BSHS) PROGRAM STANDARDS

2024 REVISION

1.0 PREAMBLE

1.1 As a nationally ranked public liberal arts university, Stockton University is committed to high standards of faculty performance that will sustain and extend the excellence we have achieved. This commitment embodies the teacher-scholar model central to the liberal arts tradition. In turn, the dynamic relationship between teaching and scholarship is part of maintaining the currency of the University's approach to interdisciplinary learning. While much of this policy focuses on evaluation of individual faculty members, this policy also affirms that interdisciplinary, liberal arts education is not the work of an individual, but necessarily involves purposeful collaboration in order to achieve the University's mission.

1.2 The status of faculty members changes as they earn reappointment, tenure and promotions, advancement, or move from part-time, temporary, teaching/clinical specialist or visiting employment to a tenure-track position. As one's status changes, so do expectations and, in some cases, the method of evaluation.

1.3 Although formal evaluation processes take place on varied cycles, the University expects the highest level of professionalism at all times. Faculty are expected to perform their roles in a manner that reflects positively on themselves and on the University. Education is a shared enterprise that entails the ability to work well with colleagues and others on campus and to contribute to institutional, School, and Program goals.

1.4 University expectations of faculty performance fall into two broad areas: those areas of faculty responsibility traditionally used by institutions of higher education to judge performance and the continued development of their faculty, and those expectations that reflect obligations of faculty as university employees.

1.5 Throughout this policy, the term "faculty" shall mean teaching faculty and the term "library faculty" shall be used to refer to librarians covered under Article XVII of the Master Agreement. For the purposes of communicating expectations for evaluation, reappointment, and advancement only, the use of the term "faculty" applies to adjuncts, part-time, and non-tenure-track professionals as well.

This policy covers all members of the faculty in the Bachelor of Science in Health Science (BSHS) Program. This includes tenured, tenure-track, and part-time faculty; non-tenure track teaching, or clinical and/or other professionals (including Levels I, II and III specialists); adjunct faculty; and visiting faculty. This policy has been developed to elaborate upon the unique efforts of faculty in the BSHS Program which may distinguish them from faculty in other college schools. Consistent with university policy and negotiated agreements, such distinctions should be incorporated into the faculty evaluation procedure. As such, these standards are subject to periodic review and revision as the needs of the program evolve.

6.0 ELABORATION OF UNIVERSITY STANDARDS FOR TEACHING FACULTY

6.1 Teaching

6.1.1 Educating students, both inside and outside the classroom, studio, or laboratory is the Program's primary purpose. Therefore, performance in teaching carries the greatest weight in the evaluation of faculty. All aspects of teaching, including preceptorial teaching as applicable, will be evaluated in order to gain a clear understanding of each faculty member's performance.

Faculty in the BSHS Program generally carry a high precepting load as compared to other programs. Precepting, and the responsibilities related to it, are considered an important aspect of teaching within the BSHS Program.

6.1.1.1 The BSHS program encourages the faculty to demonstrate teaching effectiveness by a variety of methods. Each individual faculty member is guided by a unique pedagogical philosophy. The pedagogical philosophy should be reflected in instruction and in instructional materials such as syllabi.

6.1.2 In broad terms, excellence in teaching is characterized by:

6.1.2.1 A thorough and current command of the subject matter, teaching techniques and methodologies of the disciplines one teaches. *As defined by the nature of the BSHS program, a current command of subject matter, teaching techniques, and methodologies may include (but is not limited to): evidence of continuing education in one's discipline, evidence of knowledge or application of current methodologies appropriate for interprofessional education in the health sciences, and/or application of sound pedagogical methods of instruction appropriate for both theoretical and experiential coursework. Some examples of additional evidence of maintaining current knowledge in the field may include a variety of activities, including:*

6.1.2.1.1 Maintenance of professional certification

6.1.2.1.2 Evidence of current clinical practice and/or educational activities related to the discipline, certification, licensing, or professional practice of the faculty member. Such practice should suggest that the faculty member is engaged in current, evidence-based practice.

6.1.2.1.3 Maintenance of professional licensure by a state or federal agency (e.g. Department of Education, State Licensure Board).

6.1.2.1.4 Recognition of continuing education credit by a professional organization.

6.1.2.1.5 Participation in local, state, and national professional development activities related to the faculty member's discipline or clinical practice, or to interprofessional collaborative practice.

6.1.2.2 Sound course design and delivery in all teaching assignments – whether program or General Studies, introductory or advanced offerings – as evident in clear learning goals and expectations, content reflecting the best available scholarship or artistic practices, and teaching techniques aimed at student learning. *The process of sound course design and delivery may include a variety of student assessment methods and the revision of course design based on the information gathered from those assessments.*

6.1.2.3 The ability to organize course material and to communicate this information effectively. The development of a comprehensive syllabus for each course taught, including expectations, grading, and attendance policies and the timely provision of copies to students.

6.1.2.4 Demonstration of respect for students as members of the academic community through timely feedback and responses to student communications.

6.1.3 Where appropriate, additional measures of teaching excellence are:

6.1.3.1 Ability to use technology in teaching.

6.1.3.2 The capacity to relate the subject matter to other fields of knowledge. *This includes conveying to students the importance of evidence-based practice and encouraging students in the area of scholarly inquiry and critical thinking.*

6.1.3.3 Seeking opportunities outside the classroom to enhance student learning of the subject matter.

6.1.3.4 The ability to lead, promote, and/or participate in successful credit-bearing experiences in community engagement, service-learning, faculty-sponsored/mentored research, and global education. *The BSHS Program encourages the inclusion of service-learning activities, experiential learning, independent studies, and study abroad, and recognizes the additional time and effort required by faculty to incorporate these activities into teaching.*

6.1.3.5 Ability to create an inclusive and respectful environment.

6.1.3.6 In the BSHS Program, NTTP faculty assigned alternate assignments related to instructional delivery (e.g., organization of patient simulations, maintenance of education laboratories, internship coordination, etc.) will have those activities recognized as contributing to excellence in teaching. *NTTP faculty in the BSHS Program who are assigned additional responsibilities related to precepting, in lieu of teaching, will have those activities recognized as contributing to excellence in teaching.*

6.1.4 Measurement tools used to evaluate teaching effectiveness (as described in items 6.1.2 and 6.1.3) must include (but are not limited to):

6.1.4.1 Results and reflection about student evaluation tool results, this may include the IDEA or small class evaluation instrument. Evaluation requirements should follow the current MOA requirements for student evaluation of teaching and be based on the position/rank of the individual faculty member.

6.1.4.2 Results and reflection on the currently used Preceptor Evaluation Form.

6.1.4.3 A teaching portfolio may include: statement of pedagogical philosophy; samples of course syllabi; samples of course assignments, tests, class activities, or assignments; evaluation tools used to evaluate teaching effectiveness and/or areas of strength and weakness in course design; recorded sample segments of instructional practice; correspondence from students or faculty related to instruction.

6.1.4.4 Written reports generated through peer observation; the number of peer observations of teaching should follow current MOA guidelines appropriate to the specific faculty member's position/rank.

6.1.4.5 Evidence of professional development activities related to excellence in teaching and learning.

6.2 Scholarly and Creative Activity

6.2.1 The teacher-scholar model recognizes that a serious and continuing commitment to engaging in scholarship or creative activity of one's disciplinary and/or interdisciplinary work consistent with rank and/or assigned responsibilities, enriches teaching and is the foundation of sustained excellence within the classroom.

6.2.1.1 Scholarship expectations for promotion to Assistant Professor includes the development of a scholarly research agenda.

6.2.1.2 Scholarship expectations for tenure and promotion to Associate Professor includes the progression of a scholarly agenda with a consistent record of research that is disseminated through a variety of venues; this must include at least one peer-reviewed scholarly publication and at least one oral or poster presentation. In addition, there must be further evidence of other scholarly activities, which may include items such as: additional peer-reviewed publications; additional oral or poster presentation; grants; book(s) or book chapter(s); invited publications; invited presentations; panel discussions; policy statements; curriculum related to scholarship; community-based educational publications; and related professional scholarship. Additional descriptions of scholarly activities are found in section 6.2.4.6.

6.2.1.2.1 There are many options for the dissemination of research. The BSHS program recognizes that scholarship that has been through a peer-review or

referred process has a higher value as compared to non-peer reviewed scholarship. Additionally, venues for presentations, posters, and/or grants may be local, state, regional, national, or international; some venues may be supported by professional organizations. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to document the impact or value of the venue. In cases where there is co-authorship, the faculty member is expected to provide details about their specific contributions to the scholarly work(s).

6.2.1.3 Scholarship expectations for promotion to Professor include a well-defined scholarly agenda that demonstrates a consistent record of research that is disseminated through a variety of venues; this must include at least three first author peer-reviewed scholarly publications. In addition, there must be further evidence of other scholarly activities, which may include items such as: additional peer-reviewed publications; additional oral or poster presentation; grants; book(s) or book chapter(s); invited publications; invited presentations; panel discussions; policy statements; curriculum related to scholarship; community-based educational publications; and related professional scholarship. Additional descriptions of scholarly activities are found in section 6.2.4.6.

6.2.1.3.1 There are many options for the dissemination of research. The BSHS program recognizes that scholarship that has been through a peer-review or referred process has a higher value as compared to non-peer reviewed scholarship. Additionally, venues for presentations, posters, and/or grants may be local, state, regional, national, or international; some venues may be supported by professional organizations. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to document the impact or value of the venue. In cases where there is co-authorship, the faculty member is expected to provide details about their specific contributions to the scholarly work(s).

6.2.2 Publications and creative work in support of reappointment and tenure are those achieved during the tenure candidate's probationary period. Activity in support of a post-tenure promotion or range adjustment is that work completed since the most recent promotion or range adjustment. *The BSHS Program recognizes the period for evaluation to begin as starting from the date of the file submission of the last positive personnel action as it relates to the area (teaching, scholarship/creative activity, or service) that was evaluated.*

6.2.3 The BSHS Program recognizes a wide variety of scholarly vehicles: disciplinary or interdisciplinary research, pedagogical research, applied research, integrative scholarship, community engagement and service-learning, artistic or creative activity, and grant writing. Scholarly or creative activities may take many forms and use different vehicles to communicate with the broader academic community.

6.2.3.1 The BSHS Program recognizes that the time and effort required to complete scholarly or artistic projects may vary markedly among disciplines and sub-disciplines. Such variance is addressed in these Program standards.

6.2.4 The burden is always on the candidate to document the excellence of one's work. In cases of shared or multiple authorship, clarification of the degree of one's participation is expected. In cases of conference presentations or proceedings, clarification should be provided with regard to the selectivity of the review process.

Typically, central to judgments regarding scholarly and creative activity are:

6.2.4.1 The capacity to bring scholarly or creative projects to completion.

6.2.4.2 A mix of scholarly activities appropriate to one's appointment e.g., in some cases scholarly activity will be primary, in others creative activity. *The BSHS Program recognizes that this may include interdisciplinary collaborative practice and other scholarly activities that relate to multidisciplinary healthcare settings.*

6.2.4.3 Judgments of the worth and significance of the work by those qualified to make such judgments. These may include disciplinary peers, professional organizations, ad hoc groups, such as evaluation, judging, or refereeing panels.

6.2.4.4 Documentation of the impact of one's work

- with students
- within the scholarly area
- within higher education generally
- on documented standards of best practices in pedagogy
- in the application of one's work
- as evident in citations of one's work
- on public policy or institutions
- in the artistic/cultural realm
- or in an educational setting

6.2.4.5 Just as in the case of traditional scholarship involving the discovery of new knowledge, when one's work consists of pedagogical, integrative, or applied scholarship, its significance may be documented by demonstration of clear goals, adequate preparation, appropriate methods, significant results, effective presentation, and reflective critique. Presentation before peers and colleagues and advancing the discipline are also expectations of alternate forms of scholarship.

6.2.4.6 The University understands excellence in a variety of scholarly or creative activities to embody the following:

6.2.4.6.1 Books should be published by reputable academic or trade presses and reviewed in appropriate journals.

6.2.4.6.2 Articles, essays, reviews, and other forms of writing should be published in appropriate scholarly/creative journals or venues, whether print or electronic. Some assessment should be made as to the quality of the journal in which the piece appears, in particular, its scholarly/creative reputation and

whether or not the journal or proceedings are peer reviewed. *Publications in newsletters or as professional educational articles can be considered scholarly work if the publication includes a peer-review and/or editing process. Publications emphasizing interprofessional collaborative practice are considered valuable venues.*

6.2.4.6.3 Scholarly and creative activity that involves students as co-presenters, co-participants, or co-authors.

6.2.4.6.4 A presentation should be evaluated on the quality of its content and on the prestige of the meeting where it was delivered. Qualitative judgments are best made when copies of presentations are made available. National and regional meetings should rank higher than local meetings in most instances. Scholarly presentations should be ranked more highly than non-scholarly ones. Competitive selections as well as presentations receiving disciplinary acknowledgement for excellence should be noted. In most disciplines a record of scholarship based on presentations alone will not be evaluated as highly as one including refereed publications.

6.2.4.6.5 Work in the arts may be evaluated by a number of different measures: assessment of its quality by peers or professional critics; the reputation of the gallery, museum, or other artistic venue where it is shown or presented; the respect afforded the organization for which it is performed or under contract; or some other measure of its success or impact (e.g. royalties, awards, or impact on public debate or on other artists).

6.2.4.6.6 Other forms of scholarly or creative activity that may appear in emerging scholarly or artistic media may be included as well, provided that comparable standards of peer review can be applied to them.

6.2.4.6.7 Where reviews are included in a file as evidence of the worth of a candidate's scholarly or artistic work, attention should be given to the professional credentials of the reviewer and the reputation of the journal or publication as specified in School and/or Program standards. *Reviews that relate to the candidate's professional expertise, credentialing organization, area of research, and/or interdisciplinary collaborative practice are recognized to be a higher value contribution as compared to other reviews.*

6.2.4.6.8 Professional activities undertaken as a practitioner or consultant are considered scholarly activity when they go beyond the routine application of knowledge to the creation of new knowledge and the development of new standards for practice. Such qualities distinguish between scholarship and professional service. Those making the judgments regarding the standards for applied research necessarily involve more than clients and include academic peers familiar with the area of practice under consideration. *In the BSHS Program, professional achievement and recognition in the health sciences can*

be considered as evidence of scholarly activity if such recognition is based at least in part on one's scholarly work. Examples could include specialty or board recognition, recognition as a "Fellow," or other special award or recognition as defined by the profession, where such recognition is typically based on peer-reviewed scholarly achievement along with other criteria. It is the candidate's responsibility to document this.

6.2.4.6.9 In those disciplines with strong expectations of practice to maintain current competency, appropriate standards for determining the significance of this work will be developed at the Program level and approved through the standard procedure. *In the BSHS Program, evidence of disciplinary work and achievements will be considered as scholarly activity if such recognition is based at least in part on exceptional scholarly activity. Examples include specialty or Board recognition, recognition as "Fellow" or other special award or recognition as defined by the profession or by an interprofessional forum.*

6.2.4.6.10 Grants or monetary awards that are funded or reviewed as fundable from governmental or non- governmental organizations are considered examples of scholarship if those grants and awards are subject to external peer review. *The BSHS Program recognizes that substantial regional, national, or international grants require significant time and effort and will recognize successful obtainment of grant funding as equivalent to a peer-reviewed publication.*

6.2.4.6.11 Faculty engaged in community outreach can make a difference in the communities and beyond by defining or resolving relevant social problems or issues, by facilitating organizational development, by improving existing practices or programs, and by enriching the cultural life of the community. Scholarship may take the form of widely disseminating the knowledge gained in community-based projects in appropriate professional venues in order to share its significance with those who do not benefit directly from the project.

6.2.4.7 Although NTPs are not typically responsible for scholarly and creative works, it may be required by individual program accreditation standards in the School of Health Sciences. In those cases, the School recognizes scholarly activity as contributing to the faculty member's overall performance. *The BSHS Program does not require NTP faculty to engage in scholarship as part of their evaluation process.*

6.3 University and Community Service

6.3.1 The faculty role includes contributions to the achievement of the University's mission through effective participation in governance activities, including leadership roles at the Program, School, or University-wide levels. These contributions may require the capacity to

work collaboratively with other members of the University community, including activities related to alumni and the University Foundation.

6.3.2 Faculty may also contribute in broader arenas such as state, regional, national or international organizations and disciplinary/professional associations. In addition, faculty may contribute to the University's public mission, including its commitment to diversity, equity, inclusion, and belonging, through service to our community, region, state or country. Per the Carnegie definition, community engagement and service-learning that enriches scholarship, research, and creative activity; enhances curriculum, teaching and service-learning; prepares educated, engaged citizens; strengthens democratic values and civic responsibility; addresses critical societal issues; contributes to the public good enriches scholarship. Community engagement and service-learning is particularly valued at Stockton.

6.3.3 The University expects faculty in their first five years of service to serve the University and community at levels commensurate with their rank. Faculty who are tenured, have multi-year contracts, and/or are of senior rank would be expected to have more substantial records in this area, as demonstrated by achievements in leadership on campus, in the community, to their disciplines, and to professional organizations. *The BSHS Program recognizes that engagement in program assessment, program curriculum development, program curriculum assessment, and general studies or non-BSHS program course development holds significant value to the program, school, and/or university. Probationary faculty should also demonstrate service at the program, and school or university level, and external service (to their community or professional organization(s)).*

6.3.4 Evaluation of achievements in this area focuses on the significance of participation, the impact of service, the scope of responsibilities, the effectiveness of participation, and contributions to the functioning, administration, and development of the University and other entities. Clear goals, adequate preparation and appropriate methods of providing service, significant results of the service, and reflection on the contribution and its use to improve the quality of future service are all aspects of documenting achievement in campus or community service. Sustained service is expected to meet the minimum requirement of this responsibility. Compensated service is generally not sufficient to meet the minimum requirements. However, expectations for how it can be used to demonstrate excellence may be conveyed in School and Program standards. *The BSHS Program recognizes that letters of support that detail the candidate's individual contributions may be the most effective way to communicate the degree and impact of the service.*

6.3.5 Evidence of effectiveness in University or community service may include such items as:

6.3.5.1 One or more instances when one has used one's professional skills or knowledge for the benefit of the University, or of a non-University group or individual.

6.3.5.2 Contributions to professional organizations that are focused on service or professional responsibility as opposed to scholarship, research, or artistic/creative

work. For example, an officership or service on a professional board may be more appropriately listed here, whereas editing a special issue of a journal may be more appropriately listed under the section on scholarship.

6.3.5.3 General civic or community activities to which one has contributed one's professional skills or a significant amount of time, talent, energy, and involvement beyond that which might be expected by the usual citizen or member.

6.3.6 In the School of Health Sciences, NTTP faculty assigned alternate assignments related to program administration or other service to the program will have those activities recognized as contributing to excellence in service.

6.3.6.1 Alternate assignments that relate to service will be evaluated as in-load contributions. NTTP faculty are expected to contribute to service beyond an alternate assignment that involves assigned service duties. For the evaluation of service (beyond the contractually assigned alternate duties), the BSHS Program expects that NTTP faculty will be predominantly involved in service to their program.

6.3.6.2 NTTP faculty may choose to engage in service beyond the program level. This may include service to their School, University, community, and/or professional organization.

Dean Approval: 5/13/24

